

Agriculture expenditure share in total expenditure (%)

Country	ISO	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
Algeria	DZA
Angola	AGO
Benin	BEN
Botswana	BWA	9.7	8.7	8.7	7.9	9.3	9.8	11.3
Burkina Faso	BFA
Burundi	BDI
Cameroon	CMR	2.2	1.4	5.7	8.3	6.8	8.0	7.1
Cape Verde	CPV
Central African Rep.	CAF
Chad	TCD
Comoros	COM
Congo, Dem. Rep.	ZAR
Congo, Rep.	COG
Cote d'Ivoire	CIV	3.4	3.1	2.9	2.7	2.5	3.1	2.6
Djibouti	DJI
Egypt	EGY	4.4	5.0	3.7	4.7	4.3	4.2	4.1
Equatorial Guinea	GNQ
Eritrea	ERI
Ethiopia	ETH	6.9	6.1	7.2	7.4	7.8	9.9	13.7
Gabon	GAB
Gambia, The	GMB
Ghana	GHA	12.2	12.2	10.7	7.9	4.9	6.2	4.5
Guinea	GIN
Guinea-Bissau	GNB
Kenya	KEN	8.4	11.3	9.1	10.2	7.6	10.4	9.8
Lesotho	LSO
Liberia	LBR
Libya	LBY
Madagascar	MDG
Malawi	MWI	10.2	13.6	15.1	16.1	11.9	8.4	13.3
Mali	MLI
Mauritania	MRT
Mauritius	MUS
Morocco	MAR	6.5	7.0	5.7	5.4	5.6	5.0	6.2
Mozambique	MOZ
Namibia	NAM
Niger	NER
Nigeria	NGA	2.8	3.6	4.5	5.7	7.3	4.9	13.3
Rwanda	RWA
Sao Tome & Principe	STP
Senegal	SEN
Seychelles	SYC
Sierra Leone	SLE
Somalia	SOM
South Africa	ZAF
Sudan	SDN
Swaziland	SWZ
Tanzania	TZA

Togo	TGO	7.0	5.1	7.5	5.9	9.1	8.1	15.0
Tunisia	TUN	14.5	17.0	16.4	14.2	18.1	8.3	8.0
Uganda	UGA	32.5	9.6	5.5	5.1	4.0	3.9	3.8
Zambia	ZMB	13.4	12.5	16.4	11.4	13.6	10.7	14.4
Zimbabwe	ZWE	7.03	8.46	10.37	6.09	10.91	10.93	11.66

14.5	14.1	3.2	4.44	4.23	3.65	3.03	4.65	5.56
6.9	9.2	8.1	8.5	7.5	7.8	7.9	8.2	8.3
5.4	3.2	3.1	2.2	3.4	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.9
4.1	19.5	6.5	5.6	1.4	1.6	2.2	3.2	2.5
9.95	10.99	11.11	11.04	10.67	10.12	8.97	3.82	4.18

1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
..
..	2.2
7.49	7.42	8.93	9.27	8.04	4.15	5.30	5.52	5.34
8.9	5.2	4.9	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.4	3.9	2.80
32.81	24.71	23.14	22.25	24.73	17.76	23.49	32.72	20.47
..
2.8	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.5	3.8
..
..	6.6	4.1	4.1
..	6.0	5.0
..
..	0.8	1.9	0.8
..	1.0	1.1
3.5	2.7	4.8	3.31	2.24	3.11	2.12	2.71	2.88
..	0.6	0.7	2.1
5.1	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.8	6.8	5.6	5.1	4.5
..
..
8.9	8.1	8.1	11.5	10.4	4.0	5.6	8.4	13.6
..
..
7.4	15.6	14.1	14.8	3.2	4.7	6.90	5.82	8.84
..	21.4
..	1.8
5.8	4.6	5.1	7.2	6.8	6.6	5.4	4.1	5.1
..	5.7	3.8	4.80
..
..
..	8.0
7.3	5.9	7.6	12.5	8.8	4.9	8.7	6.6	7.0
27.68	18.82	14.14	14.18	8.94	12.79	8.93	9.61	11.40
..	7.9	5.3	6.5
..	4.0
4.0	4.4	4.4	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.2	2.7
..	6.2
..	4.3	4.1	7.30
..	15.76	16.57	16.43	19.48
4.7	5.7	1.7	1.4	1.6	6.0	3.50	1.87	3.09
..	6.2	8.6	3.9	4.0
..	5.0	3.0
5.01	6.82	6.64	4.63	10.58	6.09	6.20	6.42	12.94
..	0.9
..	2.44	2.28	3.13	2.95
..
..
..	1.7	3.1	5.4
..	4	3.3	4.97
..	4.5	6.8	5.70

3.69	4.27	3.24	3.18	5.48	3.06	2.63	2.83	2.16
8.0	7.4	7.4	7.7	9.3	9.9	9.5	8.9	7.6
2.0	1.6	1.1	1.6	2.6	1.6	2.6	2.3	2.1
2.7	4.1	4.4	4.7	2.1	1.8	1.8	2.3	4.1
2.41	2.58	1.82	2.04	1.76	2.92	8.26	9.02	11.90

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
..
6.5	5.3	3.6
6.42	7.54	6.32	4.63	..
2.70	3.20	3.30
12.09	20.36	15.81	13.76	..
3.6	6.1	4.4
4.3	4.5
..
2.6	2.4	2.5
15.0	8.0	5.0
1.84
0.7	1.05	1.8
1.0	0.9
2.01	2.37	2.05
2.0	2.7	1.61
4.2	3.0
..
..
16.5	17.5	14.6	11.69	..
..
6.91	5.73	7.25
9.82	10.31	8.19	8.6	9.0
10.5	12.7	9.3	14.5	..
1.2	1.5	1.2
6.6	5.9	4.4	4.8	1.93
5.00	4.00	3.50
..	3.98	5.55	8.57	2.3
..
7.9	8.0	4.20
11.0	11.00	13.20
15.53	10.64	10.98	12.73	..
6.0	5.8
2.9	2.6	3.0	3.52	..
2.3	2.4
4.4	3.4	3.9
6.90	8.20	8.00
14.50	15.12	15.36	12.22	..
3.42	4.13	4.39	4.56	..
3.4	3.3
4.0	4.0	6.0
14.09	13.40	13.90
1.0	0.9	1.1	0.74	..
2.31	2.92
..
..
5.9	6.5	7.02
6.00	4.70	3.71
4.71	5.78	5.78	2.49	..

Data Sources by Color Code

SADC 2008

National data collected by ReSAKSS-W
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
Data points from 1991-2008 are from
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in

AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification

IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in

National data collected by ReSAKSS-W
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
Guinea CAADP Brochure #4
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
ReSAKSS-WA data collection exercise :

UNECA 2007 in light green; SADC 2008
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
Data points from 1995-2008 are from
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
UNCEA 2007 in light green; ReSAKSS-E
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
SADC 2008

AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
National data collected by ReSAKSS-W
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
Diao, Fan, Kanyarukiga and Yu. ReSAK:
AU, NEPAD, FAO and World Bank Agri
National data collected by ReSAKSS-W
Seychelles Government Finance Stast
National data collected by ReSAKSS-W

ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise
SADC 2008 in purple; ReSAKSS-ECA da
SADC 2008 in purple; ReSAKSS-ECA da

3.55	3.44	7.99	8.02
6.6	6.6
2.0	3.0	3.0	3.16
8.0	8.0	4.0	..
10.00	6.20	6.00	..

..
..
..
..
..

IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
IMF 2009 us (2008-2006)
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
IMF 2009 using COFOG classification in
IMF 2008 using COFOG classification in

Notes on building series

1. ReSAKSS data collection through es
2. CAADP document data (from broch
3. IMF Government Finance Statistics
4. If there were two data points in adj

Purpose

This is the data ReSAKSS uses for mon
Trends and Outlook Report ([http://www](http://www.resakss.org)

Citation

ReSAKSS (Regional Strategic Analysis a
Washington, DC ([http://www.resakss.](http://www.resakss.org)

'A early 2011

1 pink; SADC 2008 in purple

National data collected by ReSAKSS-WA early 2011. We had IMF data for points prior to 1991, but they were so difficult to use. Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

1 pink

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

2010

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, Oct. 2008 in red; UNECA 2007 (from SA node) in light green) and ReSAKSS-ECA (Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

1 pink; National data collected by ReSAKSS-WA in early 2011 in yellow.

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, Oct. 2008 in red and ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise 2010 in dark blue

1 pink and ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise 2010 in dark blue. ReSAKSS-AW calculated average in dark purple

'A in early 2011. Replaced data provided in CAADP brochure (see other sheets)

1 pink; Ghana CAADP Brochure #4 in orange; ReSAKSS-WA data collection exercise 2011 in bright yellow and. ReSAI

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

1 pink; Thurlow and Benin (Kenya Growth Options paper) 2008 in dark green; ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008 in red; SADC 2008 in purple

2011 in bright yellow (same as reported in CAADP brochure 4); Liberia CAADP stocktaking report in orange

3 in purple

1 pink; SADC 2008 in purple; Benin et al 2008 (Malawi growth options paper ReSAKSS WP18) in dark green. Note ReSAI National data collected by ReSAKSS-WA early 2011 (bright yellow). We had IMF data for points prior to 1995, but they were so difficult to use.

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

CA data collection 2010 in bright blue. ReSAKSS-AW calculated average in dark purple

1 pink

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008 in red; SADC 2008 in purple

'A 2011.

1 pink; ReSAKSS-WA data collection exercise 2011 in bright yellow

SS WP #21, 2008. (2002-2006 also match numbers in Rwanda CAADP brochure #4)

Culture Expenditure Survey Report, October 2008

'A 2011.

(Abstract, 2007) and ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise 2010 in bright blue

'A 2011.

2010 in bright blue; ReSAKSS data collection 2009 in light blue. ReSAKSS-AW calculated average in dark purple

2009 in light blue

2010 in bright blue; ReSAKSS-ECA data 2009 in light blue

1 pink; ReSAKSS-WA data collection exercise 2011 in bright yellow

1 pink; Uganda stocktaking report in dark green (2007); ReSAKSS-ECA data collection exercise 2010 in bright blue

1 pink; Zambia growth options 2008 in dark green; SADC 2008 in purple; UNECA 2007 in light green

1 pink; SADC 2008 in purple

established links (consultants, officials in government offices, etc.) with the countries in their respective regions. This
ures or other reports)

acent years that varied by an extreme amount, we tried our best to reconcile them (e.g., 8% in 1990 and then 25%

itoring the Maputo declaration. See CAADP M&E framework (<http://www.resakss.org/index.php?pdf=39399>) and 2C
www.resakss.org/index.php?pdf=45486) for further information and analysis.

ind Knowledge Support System). 2010. CAADP M&E Indicators: Agriculture expenditure share in total expenditure.
[org](#); data accessed from ReSAKSS Africawide Node on day month year).

ferent from Mbaye's that we dropped them due to assumption that they must contain different definition of expen

data collection exercise 2010 in dark blue

SS-AW calculated average in dark purple. From Ghana investment plan in white.

2009 and 2010 in dark blue. Note that 2007-2009 are projections from ReSAKSS-ECA, with 2007 and 2008 coming i

SAKSS WP data is based on IMF and NSO data and matches up with 2009 IMF data.

they were so different from Mbaye's that we dropped them due to assumption that they must contain different defi

is an annual exercise that is undertaken towards the end of the year

in 1991) by either estimating a point, dropping data or using a source outside of the order shown above.

2010 ReSAKSS Annual

ReSAKSS, IFPRI,

iditures (to account for such huge differences). Used logest to estimate value for 1990 and 1991. Reestimated Mba

from their 2009 submission and 2009 coming from their 2010 submission

inition of expenditures (to account for such huge differences). Used lstraight avearage to estimate 1990-94 but dro

y'es 1991 value b/c it was unrealistically high. Mbaye data in white, AW estimates in yellow.

pping them from total series (too high). Mbaye data in yellow, AW estimates in grey.